1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ANDREW P. VALENTINE, SBN 162094 andrew.valentine@dlapiper.com SAORI KAJI, SBN 260392 saori.kaji@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215 Telephone: 650.833.2000 Facsimile: 650.833.2001	
8	CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO NEW CENTURY OPTRONICS CO., LTD.	., LID. I/K/ā
9	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
12	HDMI LICENSING ADMINISTRATOR,	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-00057-JST
13	INC.,	DEFENDANT CHUNGHSIN
14	Plaintiff,	TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. f/k/a NEW CENTURY OPTRONICS CO.,
15	V.	LTD.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
16	CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. f/k/a NEW CENTURY	
17	OPTRONICS CO., LTD.,	Complaint Filed: January 4, 2019
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DLA PIPER LLP (US)		
·	II DEFENDAÌ	NT CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. F/K/A

DEFENDANT CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. F/K/A NEW CENTURY OPTRONICS CO., LTD.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1	9.	Admitted.
2	10.	Admitted.
3	11.	Admitted.
4	12.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
5	the allegation	s in paragraph 12 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
6	13.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
7	the allegation	s in paragraph 13 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
8	14.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
9	the allegation	s in paragraph 14 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
10	15.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
11	the allegation	s in paragraph 15 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
12	16.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
13	the allegation	s in paragraph 16 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
14	17.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
15	the allegation	s in paragraph 17 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
16	18.	CNC admits that plaintiff licenses its trademarks through its adopter agreements.
17	Except as so a	admitted, CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
18	truth of the re	emaining allegations in paragraph 18 of the complaint and therefore denies those
19	allegations.	
20	19.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
21	the allegation	s in paragraph 19 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
22	20.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
23	the allegation	s in paragraph 20 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
24	21.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
25	the allegation	s in paragraph 21 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
26	22.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
27	the allegation	s in paragraph 22 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
28		2

35.	Denied.
36.	Denied.
37.	Denied.
	HDMI LA Has Been Irreparably Harmed
38.	Denied.
39.	Denied.
	<u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>
	COUNT I
	(Breach of Contract)
40.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
herein.	
41.	CNC admits that, on or about October 29, 2009, it entered into the Adopter
Agreement with plaintiff, a copy of which is attached to the complaint as Exhibit B. Except as	
so admitted,	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the remaining	g allegations in paragraph 41 of the complaint and therefore denies those
allegations.	
42.	Denied.
43.	Denied.
44.	Denied.
	COUNT II
(Trade	emark Infringement under the Lanham Act § 32(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1114(a))
45.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
herein.	
46.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegation	is in paragraph 46 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
47.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
the allegation	is in paragraph 47 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
48.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
	36. 37. 38. 39. 40. herein. 41. Agreement w so admitted, 0 the remaining allegations. 42. 43. 44. (Trade 45. herein. 46. the allegation 47. the allegation

1	the allegation	as in paragraph 48 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
2	49.	Denied.
3	50.	Denied.
4	51.	Denied.
5	52.	Denied.
6	53.	Denied.
7	54.	Denied.
8	55.	Denied.
9	56.	Denied.
10	57.	Denied.
11		COUNT III
12	(Tradema	ark Counterfeiting under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(b), 1116(d), and
13		1117(b)-(c))
14	58.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
15	herein.	
16	59.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
17	the allegation	is in paragraph 59 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
18	60.	Denied.
19	61.	Denied.
20	62.	Denied.
21	63.	Denied.
22	64.	Denied.
23	65.	Denied.
24	66.	Denied.
25		<u>COUNT IV</u>
26	(False Desig	gnation of Origin, Passing Off & Unfair Competition under the Lanham Act §
27		43(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))
28	67.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
P (US)		DEFENDANT CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. F/K/A

DLA PIPER LLP (US

1	herein.	
2	68.	CNC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
3	the allegation	s in paragraph 68 of the complaint and therefore denies those allegations.
4	69.	Denied.
5	70.	Denied.
6	71.	Denied.
7	72.	Denied.
8	73.	Denied.
9	74.	Denied.
10		<u>COUNT V</u>
11		(Unfair Competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200)
12	75.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
13	herein.	
14	76.	Paragraph 76 recites a statute and, therefore, does not require a response.
15	77.	Denied.
16	78.	Denied.
17	79.	Denied.
18	80.	Denied.
19		<u>COUNT VI</u>
20		(Common Law Unfair Competition)
21	81.	CNC incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully
22	herein.	
23	82.	Denied.
24	83.	Denied.
25	84.	Denied.
26	///	
27	///	
28		- 6 -
P (US)		DEFENDANT CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. F/K/A

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	CNC asserts the following defenses to plaintiff's complaint. CNC reserves the
3	
4	right to rely upon such other additional defenses as may become available or apparent
5	during discovery.
6	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim)
7 8	The complaint and each and every one of its allegations fail to state a claim upon
9	which relief may be granted.
10	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Noninfringement)
11	CNC does not infringe and has not infringed any of the asserted trademarks.
12	
13	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Invalid/Unenforceable)
14	The trademarks asserted by plaintiff are invalid and/or unenforceable.
15	
16	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unclean Hands)
17 18	Plaintiff's claims are barred based on the doctrine of unclean hands.
19	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> (Waiver/Estoppel)
20	Plaintiff's claims are barred based on the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel.
21	
22	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Justification)
23	The conduct of CNC in regard to the matters alleged in plaintiff's complaint was
24	justified, and by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff is barred from any recovery.
25	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	(Indefinite, Unconscionable, Unenforceable)
27	The contract alleged in the plaintiff's complaint is indefinite, unconscionable
28	- 7 -
(US)	<u>'</u>

1	and/or unenfo	orceable, including for lack of consideration.
2	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate)	
3		
4		aims made in the complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because of
5	plaintiff's fail	lure to mitigate damages, if such damages exist.
6	ADDITIONAL DEFENSES RESERVED	
7	CNC r	reserves any and all additional defenses available to it under Title 15 of the
8	United States	Code, California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., or under the
10	rules, regulations, and laws related thereto, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the	
11	Rules of this	Court, or otherwise in law or equity, now existing, or later arising.
12	PR	RAYER FOR RELIEF REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS
13	WHER	REFORE, CNC prays for relief as follows:
1415	(a)	Plaintiff's complaint against CNC be dismissed with prejudice and plaintiff
16		takes nothing by way of this action;
17	(b)	Judgment in favor of CNC and against plaintiff with respect to each of
1819		plaintiff's asserted claims;
20	(c)	Judgment in favor of CNC and against plaintiff with respect to each of
21		CNC's affirmative defenses;
22	(d)	For such other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.
23	, ,	Tot sach other and rarther rener and court accuss just and proper.
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		- 8 -
(DIC)	ii	

1	JURY DEMAND
2	CNC respectfully demands a trial by jury on any and all issues so triable.
3	
4	Dated: April 1, 2019 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
5	
6	By
7	ANDREW P. VALENTINE
8	Attorneys for Defendant CHUNGHSIN TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD. f/k/a NEW CENTURY OPTRONICS CO.,
9	LTD. f/k/a NEW CENTURY OPTRONICS CO., LTD.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

DLA PIPER LLP (US)